

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/614,798 | 07/09/2003 | Frank Sundahl | 03088 | 8037 |
| 23338 | 7590 06/30/2004 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| DENNISON, SCHULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD | | | NGUYEN, KIEN T | |
| 1727 KING S | TREET | | | |
| SUITE 105 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | 3712 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 06/30/200 | 4 |

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/614,798 | SUNDAHL, FRANK | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Kien T. Nguyen | 3712 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | • | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/10/04</u> . | 6) | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,798

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors too numerous to mention specifically. Followings are a few examples: claims 1, line 4; and claim 4, line 3, the phrases "for instance can" and "for example" render the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 3, line 4, "the model (8)" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson U.S. Patent 5,326,328 in view of Thompson U.S. Patent 830,853.

Robinson disclosed a play structure a playhouse (1), a chute (26) and a flight of steps (28), the playhouse (1) is an internally hollow object made from molded plastic part, the playhouse (1) rests on ground surface by supports (40), a plurality of windows (30), one or more doorways (32), and means (20) to secure the playhouse to the ground surface. It is noted that Robinson failed to teach the playhouse having the shape of a

Application/Control Number: 10/614,798

Art Unit: 3712

mountaintop with a circumferential edge at the bottom rest on the ground. However,
Thompson disclosed an amusement ride comprising a playhouse having the shape of a
mountaintop with a circumferential edge at the bottom rests of the ground surface. Fig.
1 shows walking areas outside chute (6) are embodied with a roughened or skid proof
surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to
modify the play structure of Robinson with the teachings as discussed above of
Thompson for the purpose of providing children with different play environment.

Regarding claim 2, the limitation on lines 3-6 has not given any patentable weight because it only recites the method of producing the playground.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson modified by Thompson as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Zeilinger U.S. Patent 6,361,445.

It is noted that the combination of Robinson and Thompson failed to teach the use of a horizontal bar attached to a post or frame with a swing attached thereto. However, Zeilinger disclosed a playhouse having a chute (19), a horizontal bar (12) secured to a mast at one end and at the other end is attached to a vertical post (14), and a plurality of swings attached thereto. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Robinson and Thompson with teachings of Zeilinger as discussed above for the purpose of providing children with additional play structure.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3712

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Ktn